

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,189	03/03/2004	Franklin D. Carson	CSI-0036	4921
23377	7590 09/20/2005		EXAM	IINER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			EDGAR, RICHARD A	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HIA, PA 19103		3745	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED, 00/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/792,189	CARSON, FRANKLIN D.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Richard Edgar	3745
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHOWHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING THE MAILING OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,12,21,23,24,30,31 and 33-36</u> is/ Claim(s) <u>3,5-11,22,25-29 and 32</u> is/are objecte Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. /are rejected. ed to.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. <u>§</u> 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ □ 1-1	(DTO 440)
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/5/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 122c (see at least paragraph 0033). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In paragraph 0031, line 6, "rotation to" should be replaced by -- rotates --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 4, 22 and 33 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 4, "the rotating swash plate" and "the non-rotating swash plate" should be -- a rotating swashplate -- and -- a non-rotating swash plate --. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 22, line 4, "the mast" should be -- a mast --.

In claim 33, "the hub" should be -- a hub --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4,12,21,23,24,30,31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 2,861,640 (du Pont hereinafter).

Du Pont teaches a rotor head 19 for a rotary-wing aircraft having a plurality of rotor blades 21 and a drive shaft 22, the rotor head comprising: a gimbal 23 mechanically coupled to the drive shaft 22 so that the gimbal rotates with the drive shaft; a hub 25 pivotably coupled to the gimbal 23 for receiving the rotor blades 21; and an actuator 42 mechanically coupled to the hub 25 for causing the hub to pivot about the gimbal (see col. 3, lines 39-57).

Art Unit: 3745 -

The rotor head further comprises a swash plate assembly (see col. 2, lines 10-41) mechanically coupled to the actuator 42 and the hub so that the actuator exerts a force on the swash plate assembly that causes the hub 25 to pivot about the gimbal 23. The swash plate assembly further comprises a plurality of ball bearings 30 and a rotating swash plate 29 rotates in relation to a non-rotating swash plate 37 by way of the bearings.

The actuator 42 operates to orient the hub 25 in a particular orientation in relation to the drive shaft 22 (e.g. horizontal).

Du Pont also teaches a rotor assembly for a rotary-wing aircraft, comprising: a plurality of rotor blades 21; a drive shaft 22; and a constant velocity joint 23 mechanically coupling the rotor blades to the drive shaft, wherein the constant velocity joint is restrained from pivoting (by controlling the cyclic blade pitch) in relation to the drive shaft by an actuator 42 and a swash plate assembly 29/37.

Du Pont also shows a rotor head for a rotary-wing aircraft having a plurality of rotor blades 21 and a drive shaft 22, the rotor head comprising a gimbal 23 secured to the drive shaft, and a hub 25 comprising a plurality of sleeves 19 for receiving the rotor blades, wherein the hub 25 is mechanically coupled to the gimbal 23 and an actuator 42 and the hub pivots about the gimbal on a selective basis in response to movement of the actuator (see col. 3, lines 39-57).

Application/Control Number: 10/792,189

Art Unit: 3745

The rotor head further comprises a swash plate assembly (see col. 2, lines 10-41) mechanically coupled to the actuator 42 and the hub so that the actuator exerts a force on the swash plate assembly that causes the hub 25 to pivot about the gimbal 23.

The actuator 42 operates to orient a hub 25 in a particular orientation in relation to the drive shaft 22 (e.g. horizontal).

Du Pont further discloses a method for controlling an angle between a plane of rotation of rotor blades of a rotary-wing aircraft and a drive shaft that transmits torque to the rotor blades, comprising: providing a constant-velocity joint 23 for mechanically coupling the rotor blades 21 and the drive shaft 22; and causing the rotor blades 21 to pivot in relation to the drive shaft 22 by way of the universal joint 23 using an actuator 42.

The actuator 42 operates to orient the hub 25 in a particular orientation in relation to the drive shaft 22 (e.g. horizontal).

Claims 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 2,806,662 (Yonkers hereinafter).

Yonkers discloses a method for operating a rotary-wing aircraft having a fuselage 10, and a plurality of rotor blades 26 mechanically coupled to a hub of a rotor head 25, wherein the rotor blades and the hub rotate in relation to the fuselage, the method comprising altering an angle between a plane of rotation of the rotor blades and the fuselage (see Fig. 2) to achieve a desired airspeed for the rotary-wing aircraft (see col.

Art Unit: 3745

1, lines 39-43), and locking a plane of rotation of the rotor blades in a particular orientation in relation to the fuselage using an actuator 53/53a mechanically coupled 52/20 to the hub 25 and a non-rotating component (see Fig. 2) of the rotary-wing aircraft.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-20 are allowed.

Claims 3, 5-11, 22, 25-29 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 3 and 25 each require the rotating swash plate to be *fixedly* coupled to the hub, but du Pont only shows a *mechanical* coupling through link 38, horn 39, bearings 20, casing 19 and hub 25. The use of the bearings 20 in du Pont teaches a coupling which is not fixed.

With respect to claims 5-6, 22, 26-27, du Pont does not teach that the hub pivots by way of ball bearings since the universal joint 23 is only shown with a shaft 24 extending from the joint which connects to the hub 25.

Regarding claims 7-8, 13-20, 28 and 32, du Pont only teaches the use of a hydraulic actuator 42, and not a screw jack or jack screw.

Concerning claims 9 and 29, du Pont appears to only show an unnumbered nut on the shaft 24 fixing the hub 25 thereon, and not the use of a spring as claimed.

For claim 10, du Pont only shows one swash plate assembly which controls the tilt of the rotor hub as well as the cyclic pitch of the rotor blades, and therefore does not teach a separate swash plate which varies the blade pitch.

Finally, as to claim 11, du Pont does not show an opening in the hub 25 for receiving the gimbal 23, rather the gimbal 23 is positioned between the shafts 22,24.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Edgar whose telephone number is (571) 272-4816. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. and alternate Fri., 7 am- 5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Edgar Examiner

Art Unit 3745

RE